arXiv:1411.8002v3 [math.PR] 19 Oct 2015

The Page-Rényi parking process

Lucas Gerin*
CMAP, École Polytechnique
Route de Saclay,
91128 Palaiseau, France
gerin@cmap.polytechnique.fr

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 60C05,68R05

Abstract

In the Page parking (or packing) model on a discrete interval (also known as the *discrete Rényi packing problem* or the *unfriendly seating problem*), cars of length two successively park uniformly at random on pairs of adjacent places, until only isolated places remain.

We use a probabilistic construction of the Page parking to give a short proof of the (known) fact that the proportion of the interval occupied by cars goes to $1 - e^{-2}$, when the length of the interval goes to infinity. We also obtain some new consequences on both finite and infinite parkings.

Keywords: discrete packing; discrete parking; random deposition; coupon collector; Poissonization.

1 The Page parking

1.1 The model

For $n \geq 2$, we consider a sequence of *parking configurations* $x^t = (x_i^t)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ in $\{0,1\}^n$, given by the following construction. Initially the parking is empty: $x^0 = 0^n$. Given x^t one draws uniformly at random (and independently from the past) a number i in $\{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$ and, if possible, a car of size 2 *parks* at i:

- if $(x_i^t, x_{i+1}^t) = (0,0)$ then $(x_i^{t+1}, x_{i+1}^{t+1}) = (1,1)$ and $n-2$ other coordinates remain unchanged;
- if $(x_i^t, x_{i+1}^t) \neq (0,0)$ nothing happens.

*Supported by grant Anr Graal.

After some random time T_n (which is dominated by a coupon collector process with $n-1$ coupons, see Section (3.2) below) parking is no longer possible, in the sense that in x^{T_n} there are no adjacent coordinates $(i, i+1)$ such that $x_i^{T_n} = x_{i+1}^{T_n} = 0$. We set $X_n = x^{T_n}$ and $X_n(i) = x_i^{T_n}$. Below is an example where $X_n = (1,1,0,1,1,1,1,0,1,1,0,1,1)$.



We are mainly interested in the numbers M_n of places occupied by a car:

$$M_n = \mathrm{card}\,\{1 \le i \le n,\ X_n(i) = 1\}\,.$$

We obviously have $2\lfloor n/3 \rfloor \le M_n \le n$ and we expect M_n/n to converge, at least in some sense. Page obtained the following law of large numbers.

Theorem 1 (Page (1959))**.** *When $n \to +\infty$,*

$$\frac{M_n}{n} \overset{prob.}{\to} 1 - e^{-2} = 0.8646647\ldots$$

The fact that $\mathbb{E}[M_n]/n$ converges to $1-e^{-2}$ was in fact already observed by Flory [4] in 1939. The proof of Theorem 1 is essentially obtained on conditioning on the position i of the first car. This gives the recursion identity

$$M_n \overset{(\mathrm{d})}{=} M_{I-1} + M'_{n-I-1} + 2,$$

where I is uniform in $\{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$ and M_{I-1}, M'_{n-I-1} are independent conditionally on I. This gives recursions for both sequences $\mathbb{E}[M_n]$ and $\mathbb{E}[M_n^2]$, which can be handled using generating functions. Theorem 1 can also be found in [5, 2, 3, 11] with similar proofs.

The Page parking problem has a long story, it has been studied by many people and under different names. It is equivalent to the *unfriendly seating* problem [5], and sometimes also called the *discrete Rényi Packing* model [7], more generally, it is a toy model for *random deposition*. We refer to [4, 10, 9] for some interpretations of the model in polymer chemistry. Besides, we mention that much is known when cars have size $\ell > 2$, see [8, 3, 11].

The first aim of the present paper is to present a probabilistic (and new) proof of Theorem 1 and to study the asymptotic behavior of T_n (the numbers of cars that have tried to park). We also prove a law of large numbers for the infinite Page parking and extend a formula due to Hemmer [7] for a continuous-time version of the Page parking.

1.2 The probabilistic construction

An alternative way of defining M_n is the following. Let $\xi = (\xi_i)_{1 \le i \le n-1}$ be i.i.d. non-negative random variables with continuous distribution function F (hereafter we will take $F(t) = 1 - e^{-t}$). By convention we set $\xi_0 = \xi_n = +\infty$.

Then the order statistics $\left(\xi_{\sigma(1)} < \cdots < \xi_{\sigma(n-1)}\right)$ give the order in which the cars park:

- at time $t = \xi_{\sigma(1)}$, the first car parks at $(\sigma(1), \sigma(1)+1)$,
- at time $t = \xi_{\sigma(2)}$, the second one parks (if possible) at $(\sigma(2), \sigma(2)+1)$,
- ...
- at time $t = \xi_{\sigma(n-1)}$, a car parks (if possible) at $(\sigma(n-1), \sigma(n-1)+1)$ and the process is over.

It is easy to see that we obtain the same distribution, this was already observed by previous authors (see [12]). Let us collect for further use some obvious features of this construction.

Remark 2.
- The configuration X_n only depends on the ordering of the ξ_i's.
- If i is a local minimum of ξ (*i.e.*if $\xi_{i-1} > \xi_i < \xi_{i+1}$) then places i and $i+1$ are empty at time $t = \xi_i^-$ and then a car parks at time ξ_i at $(i, i+1)$.
- To define X_n from the ξ_i's, one can treat separately the intervals defined by two successive local minima.

Here is a sample of ξ (here $\xi_{\sigma(1)} = \xi_6$) and the corresponding configuration X_n:



It does not seem that this construction was used to its full extent, yet it gives a very simple way to characterize positions i occupied by a car. We need a few definitions.

We say that there is a *rise* of length ℓ at i if $i \geq \ell + 2$ and (recall $\xi_0 = \xi_n = +\infty$)

$$\xi_{i-\ell-1} > \xi_{i-\ell} < \xi_{i-\ell+1} < \xi_{i-\ell+2} < \cdots < \xi_{i-1}$$

or if $i = \ell + 1$ and

$$\xi_1 < \xi_2 < \cdots < \xi_{i-1}.$$

There is a *descent* of length ℓ at i if $i < n - \ell$ and

$$\xi_i > \xi_{i+1} > \cdots > \xi_{i+\ell-1} < \xi_{i+\ell}$$

or if $i = n - \ell$ and

$$\xi_i > \xi_{i+1} > \cdots > \xi_{n-1}.$$

Consistently we say that there is a rise (resp. a descent) of length 1 at i if $\xi_{i-2} > \xi_{i-1}$ (resp. if $\xi_i < \xi_{i+1}$), so that for every i there is a rise and a descent at i.

Note that by construction for each i, ℓ, ℓ' the two events $\{$ rise of length ℓ at i $\}$ and $\{$ descent of length ℓ' at i $\}$ are independent.

Lemma 3. *There is no car at i (*i.e. *$X_n(i) = 0$) if and only if there is a rise of even length at i and a descent of even length at i.*

Here is an example of a rise of length $2\ell = 6$ at i and a descent of length $2k = 4$:



Proof of Lemma 3. As already said, if i is a local minimum then a car parks at $(i, i+1)$. Consider now the case where i is inside a rise: $\xi_{r-1} > \xi_r < \xi_{r+1} < \xi_{r+2} < \cdots < \xi_i < \xi_{i+1}$ for some r. Then cars successively park at $(r, r+1)$, $(r+2, r+3)$, $\ldots$, until $(i-1, i)$ or $(i, i+1)$ (depending on the parity of $i - r$). Then $X_n(i) = 1$, and the same applies in the case where i is inside a descent.

Therefore the only case where $X_n(i)$ could be zero is if i (or $i-1$) is a local maximum for ξ. Define m_i (resp. m'_i) as the closest local minimum of ξ on the left of i (resp. on the right). A rise begins at m_i and a descent ends at m'_i and $m_i = i - s$, $m' - i = s' - 1$ where s, s' are the lengths of these rise and descent.

Cars successively try to fill places of the rise $(m_i, m_i + 1)$, $(m_i + 2, m_i + 3)$, $\ldots$ from left to right and places of the descent $(m'_i, m'_i + 1)$, $(m'_i - 2, m'_i - 1)$, $\ldots$ from right to left. If only s is odd (resp. only s') then i is occupied by a car of the rise (resp. descent). If both are odd a car parks at $(i-1, i)$ or $(i, i+1)$ depending on wether $\xi_{i-1} < \xi_i$ or not. If both are even the rightmost car of the rise parks at $(i-2, i-1)$ and the leftmost car of the descent parks at $(i+1, i+2)$, leaving i unoccupied.

□

2 The infinite parking

An interesting feature of the probabilistic construction is that it allows us to define the model $(X_\infty(i))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ on $\mathbb{Z}$, by considering a doubly-infinite sequence $(\xi_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$.

We first set $X_\infty(i) = X_\infty(i+1) = 1$ for every i such that ξ_i is a local minimum. Then we define $X_\infty(i)$ as before, using only the ξ's between m_i, m_i'. Recall that m_i (resp. m_i') is the closest local minimum of ξ on the left of i (resp. on the right) and note that with probability one, for all i one has $m_i' - m_i < +\infty$. Lemma 3 also holds for the infinite parking.

Theorem 4. *In the infinite Page parking:*

1. *For every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$,*
$$\mathbb{P}(X_\infty(i) = 1) = 1 - e^{-2}.$$
2. *When $n \to +\infty$,*
$$\frac{X_\infty(1) + \cdots + X_\infty(n)}{n} \overset{prob.}{\to} 1 - e^{-2}.$$

Proof. We first prove the first statement.
By construction the rise at i and the descent at i are independent. By Lemma 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(X_n(i) = 0) &= \mathbb{P}(\text{ even rise at } i\ )\mathbb{P}(\text{ even descent at } i\ ) \\
&= \mathbb{P}(\text{ even descent at } i\ )^2 \\
&= \left(\sum_{\ell \geq 1} \mathbb{P}\left(\xi_i > \xi_{i+1} > \cdots > \xi_{i+2\ell-1} < \xi_{i+2\ell}\right)\right)^2 \\
&= \left(\sum_{\ell \geq 1} \mathbb{P}\left(\sigma_1 > \sigma_2 > \cdots > \sigma_{2\ell} < \sigma_{2\ell+1}\right)\right)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where σ is a uniform permutation of $2\ell + 1$ elements. We get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(X_n(i) = 0) &= \left(\sum_{\ell \geq 1} \mathbb{P}\left(\sigma_1 > \sigma_2 > \cdots > \sigma_{2\ell}\right) - \mathbb{P}\left(\sigma_1 > \sigma_2 > \cdots > \sigma_{2\ell} > \sigma_{2\ell+1}\right)\right)^2 \\
&= \left(\sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{1}{(2\ell)!} - \frac{1}{(2\ell+1)!}\right)^2 = \left(\sum_{\ell \geq 0} \frac{1}{(2\ell)!} - \frac{1}{(2\ell+1)!}\right)^2 = (1/e)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We now turn to the law of large numbers. We will prove that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for every i, j
$$|\mathrm{cov}(X_\infty(i), X_\infty(j))| \leq \frac{c}{\lfloor |j-i|/3 \rfloor !}. \tag{1}$$
The convergence in probability will follow since (1) implies that

$$\mathrm{Var}(X_\infty(1) + \cdots + X_\infty(n)) = \mathcal{O}(n).$$

which gives the desired convergence.

Let us prove (1). First note that $\mathrm{cov}(X_\infty(i), X_\infty(j)) = \mathbb{P}(X_\infty(i) = 0, X_\infty(j) = 0) - e^{-4}$. By translation-invariance we can fix $i = 1$ $j = p$ and take p larger than, say, 10,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \mathbb{P}(X_\infty(1) = 0, X_\infty(p) = 0) \\ &\quad - \mathbb{P}\begin{pmatrix} \text{even rise at 1, even descent smaller than } \lfloor p/3 \rfloor \text{ at 1,} \\ \text{even rise smaller than } p/3 \text{ at } p, \text{ even descent at } p. \end{pmatrix} \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(\text{ even descent larger than } \lfloor p/3 \rfloor \text{ at 1 } \cup \text{ even rise larger than } \lfloor p/3 \rfloor \text{ at } p) \end{aligned}$$

and the latter probability is less than $2/\lfloor p/3 \rfloor !$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}\begin{pmatrix} \text{even rise at 1, even descent smaller than } \lfloor p/3 \rfloor \text{ at 1,} \\ \text{even rise smaller than } \lfloor p/3 \rfloor \text{ at } p, \text{ even descent at } p. \end{pmatrix} \\ &\qquad = e^{-1} \times \left(\sum_{\ell:\ 2\ell \leq \lfloor p/3 \rfloor} \frac{2\ell}{(2\ell+1)!} \right)^2 \times e^{-1} = e^{-4} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{(\lfloor p/3 \rfloor)!} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (1) for $|j - i| \geq 10$, by taking a possibly larger c we obtain the proof for every i, j. □

Note that the probabilistic construction also allows us to compute explicitly some short-range correlations. Clearly, by construction, if $X_\infty(1) = 0$ then $X_\infty(2) = X_\infty(3) = 1$, so the simplest non-trivial computation is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X_\infty(1) = 0, X_\infty(4) = 0) &= \mathbb{P}(X_\infty(1) = 0, X_\infty(2) = 1, X_\infty(3) = 1, X_\infty(4) = 0) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\text{ even rise at 1 })\mathbb{P}\left(\xi_1 > \xi_2 < \xi_3\right)\mathbb{P}(\text{ even descent at 4 }) \\ &= e^{-2}/3. \end{aligned}$$

As one could expect, this is greater than $\mathbb{P}(X_\infty(1) = 0) \times \mathbb{P}(X_\infty(4) = 0) = e^{-4}$.

2.1 Evolution of the continuous-time process

We now consider the process given by the time arrivals of cars. As above $X_\infty(i)$ is the indicator that there is eventually a car at i. We define the continuous-time process $(X_\infty^t)_{t \geq 0}$ with values in $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by

$$X_\infty^t(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X_\infty(i) = 1 \text{ and } \tau_i \leq t, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here, $\tau_i = \xi_{i-1}$ if the car parked at i is parked at $(i-1, i)$ and $\tau_i = \xi_i$ if this car is at $(i, i+1)$. Then τ_i is indeed the time arrival of the corresponding car, we set $\tau_i = +\infty$ if there is no car at i.

Recall that F denotes the distribution function of the ξ_i's. If $F(t) = 1 - e^{-t}$ then $(X_\infty^t)_{t \geq 0}$ defines a homogeneous Markov process and in this case the distribution function of τ_i was identified by Hemmer ([7] eq. (19)). Here we generalize his result to any F.

Theorem 5 (Evolution of the density of cars)**.**
Let τ_i be the arrival time of the car i,

$$\mathbb{E}[X_\infty^t(i)] = \mathbb{P}(\tau_i \le t) = 1 - e^{-2F(t)}.$$

Of course we recover $\mathbb{P}(X_\infty = 1) = \mathbb{P}(\tau_i < +\infty) = \lim_{t\to+\infty} 1 - e^{-2F(t)} = 1 - e^{-2}$.

Proof. By translation-invariance we assume $i = 0$. Lemma 3 gives that $\tau_i \le t$ if and only if

- there is an odd rise at 0 and $\xi_{-1} \le t$,
- or there is an odd descent at 0 and $\xi_0 \le t$.

These two events being independent we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_i \le t) = 2f(t) - f(t)^2 = f(t)\,(2 - f(t))$$

where

$$f(t) = \mathbb{P}(\xi_0 \le t;\ \text{odd descent at } 0\ ).$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= \mathbb{P}\,(t \ge \xi_0 < \xi_1) + \sum_{k\ge1} \mathbb{P}\,(t \ge \xi_0 > \xi_1 > \cdots > \xi_{2k} < \xi_{2k+1}) \\ &= \int_0^t (1 - F(r))dF(r) + \sum_{k\ge1} \int_0^t \mathbb{P}\,(t \ge \xi_0 > \xi_1 > \cdots > \xi_{2k-1} > r\ ;\ r < \xi_{2k+1})\, dF(r), \\ &= \int_0^t (1 - F(r))dF(r) + \sum_{k\ge1} \int_0^t \mathbb{P}\,(t \ge \xi_0 > \xi_1 > \cdots > \xi_{2k-1} > r)\, \mathbb{P}\,(r < \xi_{2k+1})\, dF(r), \end{aligned}$$

at second line we have conditioned respectively on $\{\xi_0 = r\}$ and on $\{\xi_{2k} = r\}$.

Set $A = \{\xi_0, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{2k-1} \in (r, t)\}$, then $\mathbb{P}(A) = (F(t) - F(r))^{2k}$ and conditional on A these random variables are ordered as a uniform permutation:

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= \int_{r=0}^t (1 - F(r))dF(r) + \sum_{k\ge1} \int_0^t \frac{1}{(2k)!}(F(t) - F(r))^{2k}(1 - F(r))dF(r) \\ &= \int_0^t \sum_{k\ge0} \frac{1}{(2k)!}(F(t) - F(r))^{2k}(1 - F(r))dF(r) \\ &= \int_0^{F(t)} \sum_{k\ge0} \frac{1}{(2k)!}(F(t) - s)^{2k}(1 - s)ds \\ &= \int_0^{F(t)} \cosh\,(F(t) - s)\,(1 - s)ds \\ &= 1 - \exp\big(-F(t)\big). \end{aligned}$$

□

3 Parking on an interval

3.1 Coupling with the infinite parking

Recall that M_n is the number of places occupied in the finite parking of size n.

Theorem 6. *For every $n \geq 2$,*

$$\left|\mathbb{E}[M_n] - n(1-e^{-2})\right| \leq 14. \tag{2}$$

This estimate is not as sharp as $\mathbb{E}[M_n] = n(1-e^{-2}) + (1-3e^{-2}) + \mathrm{o}(1)$ which has been proved by Friedman [5] (see also [3]). Yet the proof we provide here provides a simple estimate on $\mathbb{P}(X_n(i) = 0)$ which will be useful later. We use ξ_i's to define a *coupling* between finite and infinite parkings.

Proof. Let $(\xi_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be as before a sequence of i.i.d. continuous random variables of common distribution function F, we use the same ξ_i's to define $(X_n(i))_{1\leq i\leq n}$ and $(X_\infty(i))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Let m (resp. m') be the leftmost (resp. rightmost) local minimum of $(\xi_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ in $\{1,\dots,n-1\}$. We set $m = n$, $m' = 0$ if there is no local minimum.

By construction, if there is a local minimum, $X_n(i)$ and $X_\infty(i)$ coincide for every $m \leq i \leq m'+1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathbb{E}[M_n] - n(1-e^{-2})\right| &= \left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[X_n(i)] - \mathbb{E}[X_\infty(i)]\right| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{card}\left\{i;\ X_n(i) \neq X_\infty(i)\right\}\right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[m-1] + \mathbb{E}[n-m'-1] = 2\mathbb{E}[m-1].\end{aligned}$$

There are different ways to bound $\mathbb{E}[m]$, an easy one is to observe that local minima appear independently at $2, 5, 8, \dots$, with probability $\mathbb{P}(\xi_1 > \xi_2 < \xi_3) = 1/3$. We get $\mathbb{P}\left(m \geq i\right) \leq (2/3)^{\lfloor i/3 \rfloor}$ which yields $\mathbb{E}[m-1] \leq 7$ for every n. □

Note that as a by-product of the proof we get that

$$\left|\mathbb{P}(X_n(i) = 0) - e^{-2}\right| \leq \mathbb{P}\left(m > i \ \cup\ m' < i-1\right) \leq 2\varepsilon_i, \tag{3}$$

where $\varepsilon_i = \max\left\{(2/3)^{i/3-1}, (2/3)^{(n-i)/3-1}\right\}$.

We also can deduce the convergence in probability of M_n/n by using

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |X_n(i) - X_\infty(i)| \leq m - 1 + (n - m' - 1)$$

and the fact that $m/n, (n-m')/n$ both converges to zero in probability.

3.2 Number of trials: Poissonization

Let T_n be the number of cars that have tried to park before the parking process is over. It is clear that T_n is stochastically smaller than the number of trials needed to pick each number in $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$ at least once, *i.e.* stochastically smaller than a coupon collector with $n-1$ coupons. Thus, the lim sup (in probability) of $T_n/(n \log n)$ is less than one.

In order to estimate T_n we use another construction of the arrival process, in order to take into account the arrivals of cars that tried but did not succeed in park. We now are given for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ a sequence of random variables $(\xi_i^j)_{j \geq 1}$, the family $\left\{\xi_i^j\right\}_{i,j}$ being i.i.d. exponentially distributed with mean one. At $(i, i+1)$, cars try to park at times

$$\xi_i^1, \xi_i^1 + \xi_i^2, \xi_i^1 + \xi_i^2 + \xi_i^3, \dots$$

For simplicity, we write as before $\xi_i = \xi_i^1$ for the first arrival of a car at i. Let $\tau_\star$ be the arrival of the last car that succeeds in parking:

$$\tau_\star = \max\left\{\tau_i, \tau_i < +\infty\right\}.$$

Here is a picture that sums up notations (here the last car parks at $(i, i+1)$, we have $T_n = 12$):



By construction and by Markovianity we have

$$T_n \overset{(d)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \max\left\{j;\ \xi_i^1 + \dots + \xi_i^j \leq \tau_\star\right\},$$

since $\max\left\{j;\ \xi_i^1 + \dots + \xi_i^j \leq t\right\}$ is the number of cars that tried to park at $(i, i+1)$ before time t.

Theorem 7 (Number of trials)**.**

$$\frac{T_n}{n \log(n)} \overset{prob.}{\to} 1.$$

Proof. **Upper bound.** As noted above, T_n is stochastically smaller than a coupon collector with $n-1$ coupons. It is classical (see for instance [1] Example 2.2.3) that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{T_n}{n\log(n)} \geq 1+\varepsilon\right) \to 0$$

Lower bound. The strategy is the following: Theorem 5 suggests that, as long as i is bounded away from 0 and n, we have $\mathbb{P}(u \leq \tau_i < +\infty) \approx e^{-2(1-e^{-u})} - e^{-2} \sim_{u\to+\infty} 2e^{-2}e^{-u}$. The τ_i's being weakly dependent, we expect $\tau_\star = \max\{\tau_i;\ \tau_i < +\infty\}$ to be of order $\log(n)$. To conclude, we will use the fact that $T_n \approx n \times \tau_\star$.

Lemma 8. *For every $\delta > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_\star \geq (1-\delta)\log(n)) \overset{n\to+\infty}{\to} 1.$$

Proof of Lemma 8. For two integers i, ℓ such that $[i-\ell, i+\ell] \subset [1,n]$, let $A_{i,\ell}(u)$ be the event $E_{i,\ell}(u) \cup F_{i,\ell}(u) \cup F_{i,\ell}(u)$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{i,\ell}(u) &= \big\{ \text{ odd rise of length} \leq \ell-2 \text{ at } i,\ \xi_{i-1} \geq u, \\
&\qquad \text{even descent of length} \leq \ell-2 \text{ at } i \ \big\} \\
F_{i,\ell}(u) &= \big\{ \text{ even rise of length} \leq \ell-2 \text{ at } i,\ \xi_i \geq u, \\
&\qquad \text{odd descent of length} \leq \ell-2 \text{ at } i \ \big\} \\
G_{i,\ell}(u) &= \big\{ \text{ odd rise of length} \leq \ell-2 \text{ at } i,\ \xi_{i-1} \geq u, \\
&\qquad \xi_i \geq u,\ \text{odd descent of length} \leq \ell-2 \text{ at } i \ \big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The intuition for $A_{i,\ell}(u)$ is that, at least for large ℓ, this event says that a car will arrive at i, but not before time u.

Event $A_{i,\ell}$ only depends on $\{\xi_{i'}, i-\ell+1 \leq i' \leq i+\ell-1\}$. Again by Lemma 3 we have

$$\{u \leq \tau_i < +\infty\} \supset A_{i,\ell}(u) \supset \begin{cases} u \leq \tau_i < +\infty, \\ \textbf{and} \text{ there is a local minimum among } \xi_{i-\ell+2}, \dots, \xi_{i-1} \\ \textbf{and} \text{ there is a local minimum among } \xi_i, \dots, \xi_{i+\ell-2}. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq \mathbb{P}(u \leq \tau_i < +\infty) - \mathbb{P}(A_{i,\ell}(u)) &\leq \mathbb{P}\Big(\text{ no local min. between } i-\ell+2 \text{ and } i-1 \\
&\qquad\qquad \textbf{or} \text{ no local min. between } i \text{ and } i+\ell-2 \Big) \\
&\leq 2(2/3)^{\lfloor(\ell-2)/3\rfloor} \leq 2(2/3)^{\ell/4}
\end{aligned}$$

for large ℓ. (Here we have re-used the fact that local minima appear independently at $i+1, i+4, i+7, \dots, i+\ell$ with probability 1/3.)

Besides,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(u \le \tau_i < +\infty) &= 1 - \mathbb{P}(\tau_i = +\infty) - \mathbb{P}(\tau_i < u) \\ &= 1 - \mathbb{P}(\tau_i = +\infty) \qquad (4) \\ &\quad - \mathbb{P}\Big(\big\{ \xi_{i-1} < u;\ \text{odd rise at } i \ \big\} \cup \big\{ \xi_i < u;\ \text{odd descent at } i \ \big\} \Big) \qquad (5)\end{aligned}$$

Aside from the boundary effects, the arguments of the proof of Theorem 5 are still valid and we get for $i \ge 2$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\big(\xi_{i-1} < u;\ \text{odd rise at } i\ \big) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor - 1} \mathbb{P}\left(\xi_{i-(2k+2)} > \xi_{i-(2k+1)} < \xi_{i-2k} < \cdots < \xi_{i-1} \le u\right) \\ &= \int_0^{F(u)} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor - 1} \frac{1}{(2k)!}(s - F(t))^{2k}(1-s)ds \\ &= \delta_i + \int_0^{F(u)} \cosh\left(s - F(t)\right)(1-s)ds \\ &= 1 - \exp\big(-F(u)\big) + \delta_i,\end{aligned}$$

where $|\delta_i| \le 2/i!$ (δ_i is obtained by bounding the remainder of the Taylor series of cosh). By symmetry $i \leftrightarrow n - i$ we have the symmetric estimate on $\mathbb{P}\big(\xi_i \le u;\ \text{odd descent at } i\ \big)$.

Plugging this into (5) and combining with our estimate (3) on $\mathbb{P}(\tau_i = +\infty)$ we obtain for $i \ge 2$, since $\varepsilon_i \ge \delta_i$

$$\mathbb{P}(u \le \tau_i < +\infty) \ge e^{-2F(u)} - e^{-2} - 10\eta_i \ge 2e^{-2}(1 - F(u)) - 10\eta_i = 2e^{-2}e^{-u} - 10\eta_i,$$

where $|\eta_i| \le \max\big\{(2/3)^{i/3-1}, (2/3)^{(n-i)/3-1}\big\}$.

Now, events

$$A_{\ell,\ell}(u), A_{3\ell,\ell}(u), A_{5\ell,\ell}(u), \dots A_{\lfloor n/\ell - 1 \rfloor \ell, \ell}(u)$$

are independent and (we skip integer parts in order to lighten notations):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\tau_\star \le u) &\le \mathbb{P}\Big(\text{not } A_{\ell,\ell}(u), \text{not } A_{3\ell,\ell}(u), \text{not } A_{5\ell,\ell}(u), \dots, \text{not } A_{n/\ell \times \ell, \ell}(u)\Big) \\ &\le \prod_{j=1}^{n/\ell} \left(1 - 2e^{-2}e^{-u} + 10\eta_j + 2(2/3)^{\ell/4}\right) \\ &\le \prod_{j=\log(n)}^{n/\ell - \log(n)} \left(1 - 2e^{-2}e^{-u} + 10\eta_j + 2(2/3)^{\ell/4}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Choose now $\ell = 50\log(n)$ and take $u = (1-\delta)\log(n)$, so that for large n every term of the product is less than $1 - e^{-2}e^{-u}$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_\star \le (1-\delta)\log(n)) \le \Big(1 - \tfrac{e^{-2}}{n^{1-\delta}}\Big)^{(n/50\log(n) - 2\log(n))} \le \exp(-n^{\delta/2}),$$

for large n. □

We now conclude the lower bound:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}(T_n \leq (1-\varepsilon)n\log(n)) \\
&\qquad \leq \mathbb{P}(\tau_\star \leq (1-\delta)\log(n)) \\
&\qquad\quad + \mathbb{P}(T_n \leq (1-\varepsilon)n\log(n); \tau_\star > (1-\delta)\log(n)) \\
&\qquad \leq \mathbb{P}(\tau_\star \leq (1-\delta)\log(n)) \\
&\qquad\quad + \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \max\left\{j;\ \xi_i^1 + \cdots + \xi_i^j \leq (1-\delta)\log(n)\right\} \leq (1-\varepsilon)n\log(n)\right) \\
&\qquad \leq \mathbb{P}(\tau_\star \leq (1-\delta)\log(n)) \\
&\qquad\quad + \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{Poiss}_i\left((1-\delta)\log(n)\right) \leq (1-\varepsilon)n\log(n)\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{Poiss}_i(\lambda)$ are i.i.d. Poisson with mean λ. The first term in the right-hand side goes to zero thanks to Lemma 8, so does the second one by taking $\delta = \varepsilon/2$ and using Chebyshev's inequality. □

Acknowledgements

I learned about the Page model in the very nice book [11] by Ross Pinsky. I also would like to thank Marie Albenque and Jean-François Marckert for stimulating discussions, and an anonymous referee for her/his careful reading.

References

[1] R.Durrett. Probability: Theory and Examples. *Cambridge University Press* (2010).

[2] Y.Fan, J. K. Percus. Random sequential adsorption on a ladder. *Journal of Statistical Physics* vol.66 (1992), p.263-271.

[3] Ph.Flajolet. A seating arrangement problem. (1997)
`http://algo.inria.fr/libraries/autocomb/fatmen-html/fatmen1.html`

[4] P.J.Flory. Intramolecular reaction between neighboring substituents of vinyl polymers. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol.61 (1939) n.6, p.1518-1521.

[5] H.D. Friedman. Solution to: An unfriendly seating arrangement (problem 623). In *Problems in Applied Mathematics* (1964), SIAM Review, p.180-182.

[6] R.Gouet, F.J.López. Saturation in a Markovian parking process. *Annals of Applied Probability*, vol.11 (2001), n.4, p.1116-1136.

[7] P.C.Hemmer. The random parking problem. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, vol.57 (1989), p.865-869.

[8] C.A.J.Klaassen, J.T.Runnenburg. Discrete Spacings. *Statistica Neerlandica* vol.57 (2003), n.4, p.470-483.

[9] J.K.Mackenzie. Sequential filling of a line by intervals placed at random and its application to linear adsorption. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, vol.37 (1962) n.4, p.723-728.

[10] E.S.Page. The distribution of vacancies on a line. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B* vol.21 (1959), p.364-374.

[11] R.G.Pinsky. A One-Dimensional Probabilistic Packing Problem. In *Problems from the Discrete to the Continuous*, Springer (2014) p.21-34.

[12] J.T.Runnenburg. Asymptotic normality in vacancies on a line. *Statistica Neerlandica*, vol.36 (1982) n.3, p.135-148.